When Money Becomes Data

VoIP ended per-minute pricing not by competing with it,
but by making the circuit disappear.

In the late 1990s, telecoms were among the most valuable businesses on earth. They had built extraordinary infrastructure — cables, switches, spectrum, global interconnection — and they monetised it the only way their architecture allowed: by charging for distance and duration. Per-minute pricing wasn't greed. It was a structural consequence of circuit-switching. A dedicated line held open for the length of a call was a resource being consumed. The cost had to go somewhere.

VoIP didn't beat that model through competition. It made the circuit disappear. Once voice became packets, it had no distance. It had no duration in the sense the old architecture understood. The per-minute charge had nothing left to stand on. The entire pricing edifice — built rationally, defended vigorously, enormous in scale — collapsed not because someone competed harder, but because the underlying architecture changed.

Foreign exchange is the circuit-switched network of money. And it is approaching the same boundary.

The cost of moving money across currencies is not primarily the fees you see. It is the spread — the gap between the rate at which banks transact with each other and the rate at which everyone else transacts with banks. That gap never appears on an invoice. It is baked into the rate itself, recovered invisibly on every transaction, at global scale. The visible fees are the surface. The spread is the architecture.

That architecture works as follows. Currencies resolve pair by pair. Every conversion requires either a direct market or a routing chain through intermediate currencies. Consistency between pairs is not enforced at execution — it is restored afterward through arbitrage, reconciliation, the continuous labour of market-making. Settlement sits outside the pricing environment entirely, moving value across correspondent chains, consuming balance sheet, introducing credit exposure. Each step has a cost. Each cost must be recovered. Per-minute pricing for money — built rationally, persistent, enormous in scale.

Global payments revenue pool **$2.4T** Annual fees and explicit costs only. McKinsey Global Payments, 2024.	Total payments flow **$1.8Q** Quadrillion in annual transaction value. Spread extracted invisibly above this.
B2B cross-border flow alone **$150T** Annual. Even 50bps average spread capture = $750B not in reported figures.	VoIP disruption target **~$1.5T** Global telecoms revenue at peak. The market that was unmade.

The visible revenue pool is already larger than the entire telecoms industry VoIP dismantled. The spread — extracted invisibly above $1.8 quadrillion in flow — does not appear in these figures at all. The total cost of money not yet being data has never been calculated honestly, because it has never faced the architecture that would eliminate it.

This architecture persisted because its participants were human. Human treasury operations batch periodically. Human decision cycles are slow relative to settlement cycles. Humans tolerate the inconsistency between execution and settlement because their next decision arrives long after that window closes.

Autonomous systems eliminate these tolerances simultaneously. They transact continuously, optimise globally, and make the next decision before the last one has settled. For a machine at that cadence, the gap between execution and settlement is not friction — it is compounding state inconsistency propagating through every subsequent decision. The architecture does not merely become expensive. It becomes computationally incompatible with the systems depending on it.

> *Multilateral netting is a faster conference call. It compresses the inconsistency window. It does not close it. Money does not become data through a locking auction — it becomes data when currency resolution becomes a continuously maintained global state rather than a sequence of bilateral events.*

The architecture that closes the window resolves all currency relationships simultaneously. Not pair by pair. Not through netting windows. As a single coherent state in which every obligation finds closure at execution. Settlement is not a downstream process. It is the resolved state itself. The spread loses its structural justification the moment the friction it was recovering disappears. This is not theoretical. The engineering exists. The question is which infrastructure layer it runs on — and what accrues to that layer as a consequence.

The Opportunity

Infrastructure transitions of this kind do not happen because an idea becomes compelling. They happen because a specific convergence of conditions makes the old architecture untenable and the new one possible simultaneously. That convergence is observable now, for the first time, across four independent axes.

01 **Programmable settlement reaches institutional grade**

Multiple independent efforts have now produced settlement infrastructure that is persistently observable, deterministic in finality, and capable of hosting institutional-scale balance sheets. This is not one vendor's roadmap. It is a category that has arrived. The coordination layer finally has something coherent to sit above.

02 **Multinational treasury is already in the cloud**

The demand is pre-aggregated. The multinationals whose treasury balances represent the primary source of operational FX flow are already operating within cloud environments. This removes the adoption problem that slowed previous infrastructure transitions. The liquidity doesn't need to be found — it needs to be corralled.

03 **Agentic commerce has no loyalty and no politics**

The relationship inertia that has preserved sequential coordination for decades — correspondent banking relationships, procurement cycles, treasury familiarity, the human preference for known counterparties — is absent in autonomous systems. Agentic treasury routes to coherent monetary state because that is the objective function. The forcing function is mechanical, not persuasive.

04 **The resolution architecture exists and is validated**

For four decades of electronic markets, the generalised multi-currency resolution problem was understood but unsolved at scale. Simultaneous closure across N currencies under sustained institutional load, with deterministic finality and no residual imbalance, is now an operational reality. The final engineering condition has been met.

None of these conditions existed simultaneously five years ago. All four are present now. Infrastructure transitions do not wait for universal recognition — they tend to tip before most participants understand what is tipping.

When VoIP made voice into data, value relocated. It moved from the circuit into the network carrying the packets. The infrastructure beneath the data became the asset. The same relocation occurs when money becomes data — at a scale that makes the telecoms transition look modest.

The spread does not disappear into thin air. The economics of settlement do not evaporate. They migrate to wherever monetary state lives persistently — to the custody layer, to the balance that no longer needs to

move because conversion is no longer punitive, to the infrastructure hosting the continuous autonomous economic activity that runs on coherent global monetary state.

That infrastructure is a cloud workload. Every autonomous agent, every machine-native treasury, every agentic system operating on coherent monetary state needs to live somewhere. Persistent custody at the scale of global autonomous commerce is not a financial services problem. It is an infrastructure problem. And every business that stops moving money because conversion is free is a balance that stays — permanently — on the platform that made it free.

Value created by prior infrastructure transitions

Web 1.0 — connectivity layer	**~$1–2T**	Information became data.
Web 2.0 — application layer	**~$8–10T**	Attention became data.
VoIP — communications layer	**~$1.5T**	Voice became data.
Monetary coordination layer	**>>$10T**	Money becomes data. **Data becomes infrastructure.**

The cloud contracts that follow a monetary coordination transition are not a side effect. They are the primary business outcome. The platform hosting coherent monetary state at global scale does not compete for FX revenue. It becomes the infrastructure beneath an entire machine economy — in the same way TCP/IP became the infrastructure beneath the internet rather than a participant in it.

> *The telecoms industry did not see VoIP as an infrastructure opportunity. It saw it as a threat to per-minute pricing. That framing determined everything that followed — who moved, who hesitated, and who owned the network that the next decade ran on.*
>
> **The question worth sitting with is not whether this transition occurs. It is whether the infrastructure beneath it gets built deliberately or by accident.**

Why Cloud Providers Are the Natural Hosts

Monetary State as a Distributed Systems Primitive

The argument made in this document is not, at its foundation, a financial argument. It is a distributed systems argument that happens to have financial consequences.

The transition from event-based FX to state-based monetary coordination is precisely the transition distributed systems architects made when moving from message-passing to shared state — from systems that process discrete events sequentially toward systems that maintain continuously consistent global state across distributed nodes. Cloud providers build and operate these systems at global scale. That is their core competency.

MONETARY ARCHITECTURE	DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS EQUIVALENT
Sequential pair-based FX	Eventual consistency — arbitrage restores it, eventually
Multilateral netting windows	Periodic consensus — consistent within window, not between
State-based monetary resolution	Strong consistency — coherent state at every execution point
Implied-N simultaneous closure	Continuous consensus — no window, no lag, no reconciliation

This is not a new financial instrument. It is a new consistency model applied to monetary state. The problem is formally identical to maintaining coherent distributed state across nodes with asynchronous updates — a problem cloud providers have spent two decades solving at planetary scale. Monetary coordination is, in this framing, a distributed state machine with unusually consequential state.

The Flywheel

The reason cloud providers are the natural hosts is not just technical competency. It is the compounding dynamic that monetary state creates when it lives inside cloud infrastructure.

Agentic commerce runs in cloud environments

→

Agentic commerce requires coherent monetary state at execution

→

Coherent monetary state is a distributed systems workload

→

That workload runs in cloud infrastructure

→

Persistent monetary state attracts persistent balances

→

Persistent balances reduce incentive to move funds elsewhere

→

Stationary balances compound as agentic activity increases

→

Increasing agentic activity generates increasing compute demand

→

More compute means more agents means more monetary state

This is not a payments flywheel. It is a compute flywheel with monetary state as the gravitational anchor. The platform that establishes coherent monetary state at global scale captures the infrastructure demand of the entire machine economy running on top of it.

Data Gravity, Applied to Money

Data gravity is the phenomenon by which compute migrates toward data rather than data migrating toward compute. As data accumulates in one location, the cost of moving it increases while the value of processing it locally compounds. The data becomes the anchor. Everything else orbits it.

Monetary state at global scale exhibits identical dynamics. Once balances accumulate where conversion is free and settlement is final, the cost of moving them elsewhere increases — not in fees, but in the opportunity cost of leaving an environment where monetary friction approaches zero. The balances become the anchor. Agentic activity, compute workloads, and treasury operations orbit them.

This is data gravity for money. Self-reinforcing, difficult to reverse, and accruing disproportionately to whoever establishes the gravitational centre first.

The Deliberate Build

TCP/IP was not built in response to internet traffic. It was built in anticipation of a connectivity requirement that most participants had not yet articulated. The entities that built it, standardised it, and deployed it at scale became the infrastructure beneath everything that followed — not because they competed for traffic, but because they established the layer that traffic ran on.

The monetary coordination layer for machine-native commerce has the same character. It will not be built by the financial system, which is optimising within an existing architecture. It will be built by entities that recognise distributed systems problems when they see them, that operate global infrastructure at scale, and that understand what it means to become the layer beneath an economy rather than a participant in it.

- *Agentic commerce eventually requires coherent monetary state.*
- *Coherent monetary state requires state-based resolution.*
- *State-based resolution requires a coordination layer.*
- **The coordination layer exists.**

---

derien.io