Machine-Native Payment Economies and the Structural Limits of Pair-Based FX Resolution

Richard Lane
Founder, derien

Paris, France | May 2026

Abstract

The architecture of modern foreign exchange markets evolved under assumptions increasingly incompatible with machine-native economic systems. Existing FX infrastructure assumes intermittent human-originated transaction flow, bilateral currency relationships, and settlement latency that is operationally tolerable. Autonomous systems alter all three conditions simultaneously.

This paper argues that continuous machine-originated transaction density changes the problem of FX from bilateral trade matching to global liquidity state coordination. Under machine-native conditions, pair-based matching, sequential routing, and external settlement degrade structurally rather than incrementally. The resulting limitations are not primarily matters of cost or latency, but consequences of applying sequential bilateral topology to a continuously optimizing multi-dimensional system.

The paper derives the requirements of a machine-native resolution architecture and shows that these requirements converge on simultaneous multi-currency state resolution rather than improved bilateral routing. It further examines the implications for payment blockchain infrastructure, arguing that many current systems modernise settlement while retaining the liquidity topology of legacy FX architecture.

The central claim advanced is that machine-native payment systems do not ultimately require better foreign exchange routing. They require a different class of financial coordination architecture entirely.

1. Introduction

Foreign exchange markets have undergone repeated technological transformation over four decades of electronification. Trading migrated from voice execution to electronic platforms.

Matching engines became algorithmic. Latency compressed from seconds to microseconds. Yet despite these changes, the underlying topology of FX markets has remained largely unchanged.

Currencies continue to resolve pair by pair. Consistency between pairs is restored after execution through arbitrage. Settlement remains external to the environment where prices are formed.

These architectural choices were historically rational. Modern FX infrastructure evolved under a set of operating assumptions that remained stable for decades:

- transactions were originated primarily by humans,
- transaction frequency was intermittent rather than continuous,
- bilateral currency relationships were operationally manageable abstractions,
- and settlement latency was economically tolerable.

Under these conditions, pair-based matching combined with post-trade arbitrage reconciliation represented an effective architecture for global currency exchange. Those assumptions are now changing simultaneously.

Machine-originated economic activity — autonomous agents executing payments, optimising treasury balances, allocating operational liquidity, and coordinating value transfer continuously across currencies and jurisdictions — introduces a qualitatively different transaction regime. Machines do not transact intermittently; they optimise continuously. They do not naturally decompose currency relationships into bilateral pairs; they solve optimisation problems across state spaces. And they do not tolerate undefined settlement state as an operational inconvenience: the interval between execution and settlement introduces compounding uncertainty into every subsequent decision dependent on that state.

This paper does not argue that machine-native commerce merely increases demand for existing FX infrastructure. It argues that machine-originated transaction density changes the architectural requirements of liquidity coordination itself. Section 2 characterises the structure of machine-originated transaction flow. Section 3 examines why pair-based FX architectures degrade under machine-native conditions. Section 4 derives the requirements of a machine-native liquidity coordination architecture. Section 5 examines simultaneous multi-currency state resolution as a structural solution. Section 6 considers implications for payment blockchain infrastructure. Section 7 concludes.

2. The Structure of Machine-Originated Transaction Flow

Human-originated FX demand historically possessed three defining characteristics: intermittency, bilateral framing, and tolerance for settlement delay. Machine-originated transaction flow differs on all three dimensions.

2.1 Continuity

Human treasury operations typically batch transactions periodically — daily, weekly, or in response to operational events. Autonomous systems optimise continuously. Agents managing operational balances, treasury positions, supplier obligations, compute procurement, or liquidity allocation respond to changing conditions in real time. Transaction frequency becomes bounded not by human decision cadence but by optimisation frequency and system latency.

At sufficient scale, this produces effectively continuous currency demand rather than episodic demand. The implication is important: liquidity conditions cease evolving slowly relative to transaction flow. Liquidity itself becomes endogenous to aggregate optimisation behaviour across the network.

2.2 Dimensionality

Human decision-makers naturally conceptualise FX as bilateral conversion: dollars into euros, yen into pounds, pesos into dollars. Machines do not. An autonomous system managing obligations across multiple currencies frames the problem differently: given obligations across N currencies, what allocation minimises aggregate cost subject to settlement constraints?

The bilateral pair is therefore not a natural computational primitive for machine systems. It is an inherited abstraction from human-era market structure. As machine-originated transaction density increases, this distinction becomes increasingly consequential.

2.3 Settlement Atomicity

Human-originated systems tolerate settlement latency because operational decisions occur slowly relative to settlement cycles. Machines operate differently. An autonomous system making sequential treasury decisions requires a consistent view of state at every decision point. The interval between execution and settlement creates a period during which nominal balances diverge from settled balances.

Under low-frequency human operations this divergence is manageable. Under continuous autonomous optimisation it becomes a compounding source of uncertainty propagating through every subsequent decision dependent on that state. For machine-native systems, settlement latency is not merely a cost. It is a state consistency problem.

3. Why Pair-Based FX Resolution Degrades Under Machine-Native Conditions

Traditional FX architecture resolves currencies sequentially through independent bilateral books (EUR/USD, USD/JPY, GBP/USD), with consistency restored after execution through arbitrage. This architecture develops three structural limitations under continuous machine-native transaction density.

3.1 Dimensional Scaling

In a system with N currencies, the number of bilateral pairs grows as N(N−1)/2. Triangular arbitrage paths grow as N(N−1)(N−2)/6. Table 1 illustrates how rapidly both quantities scale.

Currencies (N)	**Bilateral Pairs**	**Triangular Paths**
10	45	120
20	190	1,140
50	1,225	19,600
100	4,950	161,700
180	16,110	955,860

Table 1. *Growth of bilateral pairs and triangular arbitrage paths as a function of currency count N. Under intermittent human transaction flow, arbitrage can restore consistency across these structures. Under continuous machine-native flow, reconciliation becomes a lagging function of a continuous signal.*

Under intermittent human transaction flow this architecture remains viable because arbitrage has time to restore consistency between execution events. Under continuous machine-native flow, arbitrage becomes a lagging function of a continuous signal. Cross-pair inconsistencies emerge faster than reactive reconciliation can restore them. The dimensional scaling problem is therefore not simply computational inefficiency — it is a structural consequence of applying bilateral topology to a genuinely multi-dimensional liquidity system.

3.2 Routing Fragility

Pair-based systems resolve multi-currency conversions through sequential routing chains (A → USD → EUR → B). Each step introduces spread accumulation, latency, execution uncertainty, and path dependency. Under human-speed transaction flow these routing chains remain relatively stable because liquidity conditions evolve slowly relative to execution frequency.

Under continuous machine-native flow, routing itself becomes unstable because liquidity conditions become endogenous to aggregate optimisation behaviour across the network. An optimal route at one moment may degrade immediately as large populations of autonomous agents pursue similar optimisation paths simultaneously. Sequential routing therefore introduces compounding uncertainty that routing intelligence alone cannot eliminate.

3.3 Settlement Incompatibility

External settlement is not merely expensive in machine-native payment systems. It is structurally incompatible with continuous autonomous optimisation. An autonomous system operating with unresolved obligations possesses an inconsistent internal state: balances have changed economically but not yet settled operationally.

Under machine-speed optimisation, state inconsistency propagates continuously through subsequent decisions. At scale, populations of autonomous agents operating on divergent lagged views of settlement state generate collective inefficiencies that are difficult to predict or correct, because optimisation itself becomes conditioned on inconsistent information. External settlement in machine-native economies therefore produces not merely friction, but degraded system-wide optimisation coherence.

4. Requirements of a Machine-Native Liquidity Coordination Architecture

The structural limitations described above share a common source: the assumption that currency relationships can resolve sequentially while consistency is restored afterward. Machine-native payment systems require different architectural assumptions entirely. The following requirements emerge directly from the operating conditions described in Sections 2 and 3.

Requirement 1: Simultaneous Multi-Currency Resolution

Currency relationships must resolve simultaneously rather than sequentially. Consistency cannot be restored after execution — it must exist at execution.

Requirement 2: Endogenous Path Discovery

Optimal conversion paths must emerge from aggregate demand structure itself rather than predefined routing paths. Under continuous autonomous transaction density, predefined routing structures become stale faster than they can be maintained.

Requirement 3: Atomic Settlement

Settlement must become a consequence of resolution rather than a downstream process. The system state after execution must already represent final settlement state.

Requirement 4: Custody Agnosticism

The coordination layer must remain structurally independent from custody, issuance, and settlement infrastructure. Machine-native economies operate simultaneously across chains, issuers, custodians, and wallet environments. A resolution architecture coupled to any single custody environment becomes structurally constrained.

Requirement 5: Deterministic Neutrality

Autonomous systems require deterministic and identity-neutral execution behaviour. Discretionary execution degrades optimisation reliability for machine participants. The coordination layer must therefore operate as neutral infrastructure rather than participant-specific intermediation.

5. Simultaneous Multi-Currency State Resolution

The architecture satisfying the requirements derived above belongs to a well-defined class of network optimisation problem. Rather than treating currency conversion as a sequence of bilateral trades to be reconciled afterward, simultaneous multi-currency state resolution treats aggregate currency demand as a continuously evolving flow network: nodes represent currency units, edges represent conversion obligations, and resolution consists of identifying a feasible closed state in which obligations net internally without residual imbalance requiring external reconciliation.

This class of problem is formally related to the maximum flow and minimum cost flow problems introduced by Ford and Fulkerson (1956) and subsequent operations research literature. The contribution of machine-native payment systems is not the introduction of a new mathematical framework, but the emergence of operating conditions under which such a framework becomes structurally necessary rather than merely theoretically interesting. Historically, the practical limitation was not conceptual but operational: maintaining globally consistent multi-currency closure under sustained high-frequency transaction density exceeded the engineering constraints of earlier financial infrastructure. Machine-native settlement environments alter those constraints.

Currency conversion therefore ceases to behave as a sequence of bilateral trades. It becomes a deterministic transition across a globally consistent liquidity state. This distinction is structural rather than semantic.

5.1 Liquidity Emergence

In pair-based systems, liquidity must be provisioned independently for each pair. In simultaneous resolution systems, liquidity emerges from aggregate demand structure itself. A conversion appearing illiquid bilaterally may resolve efficiently through multi-currency closure discovered endogenously by the coordination system. Liquidity therefore becomes a property of aggregate system state rather than isolated bilateral inventory.

5.2 Arbitrage Internalization

Because all currency relationships resolve simultaneously, cross-pair consistency is enforced at execution itself. Arbitrage is not required to restore consistency afterward because the system remains internally consistent by construction.

5.3 Residual-Free Settlement

When resolution produces a closed state, no unresolved obligations remain outstanding after execution. Settlement therefore becomes the resolved state itself. The distinction between execution and settlement collapses.

5.4 Dimensional Stability

Simultaneous state resolution does not scale according to the number of bilateral pairs because bilateral pairs are no longer the primary unit of computation. Currencies become nodes within a global liquidity graph. Adding currencies therefore adds nodes rather than multiplying enumerated routing paths requiring independent maintenance and arbitrage reconciliation. This changes the scaling properties of the system fundamentally.

6. Implications for Payment Blockchain Infrastructure

Payment blockchains represent the most significant current attempt to construct infrastructure for machine-native commerce. These systems provide deterministic settlement, programmable balances, persistent shared state, and low-latency value transfer. However, most continue to inherit the liquidity topology of traditional FX systems. Settlement architecture has evolved; liquidity coordination architecture largely has not.

Most payment environments continue to depend upon bilateral liquidity pools, routed swaps, bridge paths, or external pair-based markets. As a result, machine-native settlement infrastructure is frequently combined with human-era liquidity topology, creating a structural mismatch.

6.1 Settlement Infrastructure vs Liquidity Coordination Infrastructure

Payment blockchains solve how value moves once conversion decisions are made. They frequently do not solve how currency relationships themselves should coordinate under continuous machine-native demand. As a result, autonomous systems must often resolve liquidity externally before settling internally, reintroducing dimensional fragmentation, routing fragility, and settlement inconsistency into environments specifically designed to eliminate them.

Some payment infrastructures may therefore unintentionally reproduce the structural constraints of the systems they seek to replace. The issue is not technological modernisation — it is the persistence of sequential bilateral liquidity topology inside environments increasingly characterised by continuous autonomous transaction flow.

6.2 The Resolution Primitive

A simultaneous multi-currency resolution layer occupies a structurally distinct role within payment infrastructure. It does not custody assets, issue liabilities, intermediate credit, or directly manage settlement infrastructure. Its function is singular: to determine the globally consistent liquidity state satisfying aggregate currency obligations simultaneously.

This positioning is analogous to routing infrastructure within communication networks. The routing layer determines how packets flow; the network infrastructure carries them. The routing function does not require ownership of the infrastructure itself in order to become indispensable to it. Similarly, a machine-native liquidity coordination primitive may operate across chains, issuers, custody environments, and settlement systems while remaining neutral with respect to each.

6.3 Existing FX Infrastructure

The purpose of this paper is not to argue that existing FX architecture was irrationally designed. Modern FX infrastructure evolved under historically valid operating assumptions and has supported global commerce with remarkable resilience. The issue is that the assumptions underlying those architectures are changing simultaneously. Machine-native economic systems alter transaction frequency, dimensionality, and settlement requirements at the same time.

Under these conditions, pair fragmentation scales non-linearly, sequential routing compounds uncertainty, and external settlement degrades optimisation coherence. These are not primarily pricing inefficiencies — they are structural mismatches between human-era liquidity topology and machine-native operating conditions. Banks, custodians, settlement networks, and FX venues retain operational expertise, regulatory infrastructure, distribution, and institutional trust that remain highly valuable. The competitive question is whether liquidity coordination architecture evolves before pair-based constraints become operationally limiting.

Historically, infrastructure transitions rarely occur because existing systems cease functioning entirely. They occur because new operating conditions expose latent constraints previously tolerated within older architectures. Global FX infrastructure may now be approaching such a boundary.

6.4 Competitive Dynamics

Payment environments integrating simultaneous multi-currency resolution possess fundamentally different scaling characteristics from sequential pair-based systems. Improved coordination quality produces tighter effective pricing, deeper aggregate liquidity, improved closure probability, and greater settlement retention. These effects compound mechanically.

Operational FX demand is continuous, predictable, and non-discretionary. Once concentrated within a superior coordination environment, it becomes difficult to displace because the economic incentive to route elsewhere diminishes continuously as coordination quality improves. The resulting dynamic is structural rather than promotional.

7. Conclusion

The architecture of modern FX markets was optimised for intermittent human-originated transaction flow. Machine-native economic systems alter the assumptions underlying that architecture simultaneously. Autonomous systems transact continuously, optimise globally, and require deterministic settlement state. Under these conditions, pair-based FX resolution degrades structurally rather than incrementally.

The dimensional scaling problem, routing fragility, and settlement inconsistency inherent to sequential bilateral architectures become increasingly incompatible with continuous autonomous transaction density. A machine-native payment economy therefore requires simultaneous multi-currency resolution, endogenous path discovery, atomic settlement, custody agnosticism, and deterministic neutral execution.

These requirements converge on a different class of financial architecture: continuous global liquidity state coordination. Payment blockchains currently provide sophisticated settlement infrastructure while frequently continuing to inherit the liquidity topology of traditional FX systems. The payment environment integrating simultaneous multi-currency resolution earliest may acquire structurally distinct coordination properties as machine-native commerce expands.

The transition described in this paper is therefore not primarily about faster payments, cheaper FX, or improved routing efficiency. It is a transition from bilateral currency markets toward continuous global liquidity state coordination — from a market structure optimised for human-speed commerce toward one suited to the operating requirements of machine-native economies.

References

Bank for International Settlements (2022). *Triennial Central Bank Survey of Foreign Exchange and OTC Derivatives Markets.*

CLS Group (2022). *Settlement Risk Reduction in the Foreign Exchange Market.*

Duffie, Darrell; Gârleanu, Nicolae; Pedersen, Lasse (2005). Over-the-Counter Markets. *Econometrica*, 73(6), 1815–1847.

Ford, L.R.; Fulkerson, D.R. (1956). Maximal Flow Through a Network. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 8, 399–404.

Glosten, Lawrence; Milgrom, Paul (1985). Bid, Ask and Transaction Prices in a Specialist Market with Heterogeneously Informed Traders. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 14(1), 71–100.

Kyle, Albert (1985). Continuous Auctions and Insider Trading. *Econometrica*, 53(6), 1315–1337.

Lamport, Leslie (1978). Time, Clocks, and the Ordering of Events in a Distributed System. *Communications of the ACM*, 21(7), 558–565.

Lyons, Richard (2001). *The Microstructure Approach to Exchange Rates.* MIT Press.

Madhavan, Ananth (2000). Market Microstructure: A Survey. *Journal of Financial Markets*, 3(3), 205–258.

O'Hara, Maureen (1995). *Market Microstructure Theory.* Blackwell.